

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
10

11 LAMARTICE WRIGHT,
12 Petitioner,
13 v.
14 BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,
15 Respondents.
16

Case No. 2:18-cv-02136-RCJ-VCF

ORDER

17 In this habeas corpus action under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, the court found that petitioner
18 Lamartice Wright has not exhausted his state-court remedies for grounds 2 and 3 of his first
19 amended petition. ECF No. 44. Wright has filed a motion for partial dismissal of those grounds,
20 supported by a declaration of abandonment. ECF No. 45, 46. The motion is unopposed. Good
21 cause appearing;

22 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that petitioner's motion for partial dismissal (ECF No.
23 45) is **GRANTED**. Grounds 2 and 3 of the first amended petition are **DISMISSED**.

24 ///

25 ///


26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that respondents will have 60 days from the date of entry of
2 this order to file and serve an answer, which must comply with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing
3 Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. Petitioner will have 30 days from the
4 date on which the answer is served to file a reply.

5 DATED: January 3, 2022.

6 
7 ROBERT C. JONES
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28